

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_87185
Title of the Manuscript:	Development and Performance Evaluation of a Mini-Potentiostat for Corrosion Experimentations
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Abstract is acceptable • key words are 4 words. it is acceptable but authors should follow the journal style guide lines in italic font size and Punctuation Marks. • Please, authors should write all headings and subtitles and should follow the journal guide line in font size and bold size (Punctuation Marks). • Authors should mention the grades of used Emery paper. Emery paper of different grades was used to polish the mild steel and cleaned with acetone and washed with distilled water. • Authors did not mention any information about used NaCl purity percentage and trademarks. what about the purity and trade mark of used chemicals? • 2.5. Electrochemical Tests:- • Authors didn't mention any information about the area of used counter Pt electrode and the company of the reference Ag/AgCl reference electrode. Is the Silver Chloride reference electrode made from HANNA Company Italy or EG&G company? • Figure 4,5 and 6 are hard to read. The readers cannot read it easily. The resolution of Figure 4-6 is very small. The lines of curves inside figures should be thick lines. • All references in the list are not following the journal guide lines (font, size, Punctuation Marks). • Number of references are only 18. number of References is small. • Reference number 7 is very old. 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Elsayed Mostafa Elsayed
Department, University & Country	Central Metallurgical Research and Development Institute, Egypt